28th September 1955] [Mr. Speaker]

elections now on, was ordered by the Court on 20th September 1955 to be released on bail. Because Dr. K. B. Menon did not get an answer to it, immediately he followed it up by an adjournment motion which was handed over yesterday. The Hon. Leader of the House has not been given notice of this short-notice question. It has been given to the Department and I do not think that that has been actually given to him for the purpose of giving a reply. In the face of the short-notice question which definitely speaks of alleged offences and an order by the Court and of the fact that hon. Member, Dr. K. B. Menon himself, is not sure of his ground and says that he only understands that there is no matter pending in Court, I think we shall be treading on dangerous grounds if we discuss a matter which is *sub judice*. Therefore, I find there is really no case of urgency made out. On these considerations I think that this adjournment motion is not in order and therefore leave is not given.

VI.—GOVERNMENT MOTION

MOTION UNDER RULE 23 OF THE ASSEMBLY RULES *re*; CHANGE OF THE ORDER OF BUSINESS.

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move—

"That rule 23 of the Madras Assembly Rules be suspended and the House do resolve to transact Government business on Thursday the 29th September 1955."

MR. SPEAKER : The question is—

"That rule 23 of the Madras Assembly Rules be suspended and the House do resolve to transact Government business on Thursday the 29th September 1955."

The motion was put and carried.

VII.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE MADRAS TENANTS AND RYOTS PROTECTION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1955.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg to introduce the Madras Tenants and Ryots Protection (Amendment) Bill [a], 1955 and move—

"That the Bill be taken into consideration at once."

Sir, with regard to tenants and ryots, there is now protection to all categories of tenants except those in the estates which are not taken over. Now, they also require protection and that is why, last year also the Madras Tenants and Ryots Protection (Amendment) Act was extended. Now, it looks as though some more time is required to decide about this question of taking up the

[a] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* Extraordinary, dated 22nd September 1955.